SUBJECTS.

Member said that it would be a great help to the people of these localities if the Additional Munsiff's Court at Thiruvella were removed to Changanacheri with jurisdiction over these *pakuthies* as well as over the northern *pakuthies* of the Thiruvella taluk.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

Establishment of a Munsiff's Court at Ambalapuzha.

Mr. S. Parameswara Menon (Member, Ambalapuzha taluk) spoke on the necessity for a Munsiff's Court at Ambalapuzha. The two Munsiffs' Courts in the taluk were at Alleppey, in the northern-most portion of the taluk, the distance from the southern and eastern parts of the taluk being 17 and 18 miles, respectively. He suggested that, if the Additional Court at Alleppey be removed to Ambalapuzha, the people of six villages would be greatly benefited.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

Establishment of a Munsiff's Court at Nedumangad.

Mr. Sankaran Krishnan (Member, Nedumangad taluk) said that in 1052 there was a Court at Pirappancode, but when the people prayed for a new Court at Nedumangad, matters turned from bad to worse, in that the jurisdiction of the taluk was divided between the Chirayinkil and the Neyattinkara Munsiffs' Courts. It was indeed a pity that at present the people from Karakulam, a place only 4 miles from Trivandrum, had to go to Attingal through Trivandrum to conduct their cases, and the Member requested that at least the *pakuthies* of Nedumangad, Karakulam and Anad should be transferred to the Trivandrum Munsiff's Court. The Member further pointed out that the other three taluks of the Division had two Courts each and that the Nedumangad people had to go about all over the District to conduct their cases. People from Ponmudi had to travel 36 miles to conduct their cases, whether it was at Trivandrum, Neyattinkara or Chirayinkil. In these circumstances, the Member prayed that either a Munsiff's Court be established at Nedumangad or that the local Magistrate be invested with Munsiff's powers.

Dewan's reply.—Noted.

(4). Registration.

35. Certain financial clauses in the new Registration Rules may be kindly reconsidered for relaxing the enhanced pressure on the middle and poorer classes of the population.

Mr. K. K. Kuruvila (Member, Nominated) represented that nine additional impositions had been brought about by the new Registration Rules, that two of these only would be referred to, and that one of them should be modified as poor people were suffering under it. The Member said that the particularisation of matters, two or more of which in an instrument would have to be treated as

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly